arXiv:1401.5167v1 [math.DG] 21 Jan 2014

A new proof of a theorem of Petersen

Yi-Hu Yang (SJTU)* and Yi Zhang (Tongji)

Abstract

Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $\geq n-1$. In [1, 2], Tobias Colding, by developing some new techniques, proved that the following three condtions: 1) $d_{GH}(M, S^n) \to 0$; 2) the volume of M $\mathrm{Vol}(M) \to \mathrm{Vol}(S^n)$; 3) the radius of M $\mathrm{rad}(M) \to \pi$ are equivalent. In [5], Peter Petersen, by developing a different technique, gave the 4-th equivalent condition, namely he proved that the $n+1$-th eigenvalue of M $\lambda_{n+1}(M) \to n$ is also equivalent to the radius of M $\mathrm{rad}(M) \to \pi$, and hence the other two. In this note, we give a new proof of Petersen's theorem by utilizing Colding's techniques.

MSC Classification: 53C20, 53C21, 53C23
Keywords and phrases: radius, eigenvalues, Gromov-Hausdorff distance

1 Introduction

This note is a by-product of understanding [1, 2, 5]. Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $\geq n-1$. In [1, 2], T. Colding proved that the following three conditions are equivalent: 1) $d_{GH}(M, S^n) \to 0$; 2) the volume of M $\mathrm{Vol}(M) \to \mathrm{Vol}(S^n)$; 3) the radius of M $\mathrm{rad}(M) \to \pi$. To this end, he developed some new techniques and got some local L^2-estimates of distances and angles (for details, see §2). On the other hand, by developing a completely different technique, Peter Petersen later got the 4-th equivalent condition [5], i.e. proved the following theorem.

Petersen's Theorem: *Let M be an n dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $Ric_M \geq n-1$. Then the radius $rad(M)$ of M is close to π if and only if the $n+1$-th eigenvalue $\lambda_{n+1}(M)$ of M is close to n.*

*Supported partially by NSF of China (No.11171253)

The aim of this note is to give a new proof of Petersen's theorem by utilizing Colding's techniques. Here, we also want to thank Peter Petersen for his kind comments.

2 Colding's local L^2-estimates of distances and angles

In this section, we recall Colding's integral estimates of distances and angles. We first fix some notation. Let N be a closed Riemannian manifold. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(N)$, set

$$\|f\|_p = \big(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(N)} \int_N |f|^p \mathrm{d}vol\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In the sequel, we will always omit "dvol" in the integral. Let f be a Lipschitz function and ∇f the gradient of f, then by $\|f\|_{2,1}$ denote the $(2,1)$-Sobolev norm of f, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{(2,1)} = \big(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(N)} \int_N |f|^2 + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(N)} \int_N |\nabla f|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For $f \in C^2(N)$, define the Hessian of f as follows

$$\mathrm{Hess}(f)(u, v) =< \nabla_u \nabla f, v >,$$

and the laplacian as follows

$$\Delta f = \mathrm{TrHess}(f).$$

So, Δ is negative semi-definite.

Recall also that f is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue λ if $\Delta f + \lambda f = 0$. Let SN be the unit tangent bundle of N, g^t is the geodesic flow and $\pi : SN \to N$ the corresponding projection. For $A \subset N$, set $SA = \pi^{-1}(N)$. For SN, one has an obvious Riemannian measure which is induced from that of N. So, we will integrate on various sets, e.g. $N, SN, SA \times [0, l]$, etc.

If V is a finite dimensional vector space with an inner product $< \cdot, \cdot >$ and ω is a bilinear from on V, then we set

$$|\omega|^2 = \Sigma_{i,j} (\omega(e_i, e_j))^2,$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V.

In this note, unless stated otherwise, we always assume that M is an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with the Ricci curvature satisfying $Ric_M \geq n-1, n \geq 2$.

For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $l > 0$, define a function $h_f \in C^\infty(SM \times [0,l])$ as follows

$$h_f(v,t) = f(\gamma_v(0))\cos t + \frac{f(\gamma_v(l)) - f(\gamma_v(0))\cos l}{\sin l}\sin t, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma_v(t)$ is the geodesic at $\pi(v)$ along the direction v. The function obviously satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_f}{\partial t^2} = -h_f \tag{2}$$

and is also determined uniquely under the conditions: $h_f(v,0) = f(\gamma_v(0))$, $h_f(v,l) = f(\gamma_v(l))$. We remark that if $\mathrm{Hess}(f) = -fg$ (here g is the Riemannian metric on M), then $h_f(v,t) = f(\gamma_v(t))$.

Proposition 1 *([1], Lemma 1.4) Given ϵ, k, and $l \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, there exists a positive number $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, k, l, n)$ such that if $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\|f\|_2 \leq k$ and $\|\Delta f + nf\|_2 \leq \delta$, then*

$$\frac{1}{l\,Vol(SM)}\int_{SM}\int_0^l |f(\gamma_v(t)) - h_f(v,t)|^2 dt < \epsilon, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{l\,Vol(SM)}\int_{SM}\int_0^l \Big|\frac{\partial f(\gamma_v(t))}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h_f(v,t)}{\partial t}\Big|^2 dt < \epsilon. \tag{4}$$

In the sequel of this note, we will use $\psi(\delta|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot)$ to denote a certain positive function depending on δ and some additional parameters such that when these parameters are fixed, $\lim_{\delta\to 0}\psi(\delta|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot) = 0$.

For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we define another function g_f on $SM \times [0,l]$ as follows

$$g_f(v,t) = < \nabla f, v > \sin t + f(\pi(v))\cos t. \tag{5}$$

Similar to the h_f before, it is easily to see that $g_f(v,t)$ is uniquely determined by

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_f}{\partial t^2} = -g_f, \tag{6}$$

$$g_f(v,0) = f(\pi(v)), \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial g_f}{\partial t}(v,0) = < \nabla f, v > . \tag{8}$$

The following proposition also is essentially due to Colding. We only make a little bit modification so that we can apply it conveniently in the proof of the next section.

Proposition 2 *([2], Proposition 4.5) Given $\epsilon, k, \bar{A}, C > 0$ and $l \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, there exists a positive number $\delta = \delta(n, k, \epsilon, l, \bar{A}, C)$ such that if $A \subset M$ with $\frac{Vol(A)}{Vol(M)} \geq \bar{A}$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\|f\|_2 \leq k$, $\|\Delta f + nf\|_2 \leq \delta$, $\max|f|$, and $\max|\nabla f| \leq C$, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{l^3\, Vol(SA)} \int_{SA} \int_0^l |g_f(v,t) - f(\gamma_v(t))|^2 dt < \epsilon, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{l\, Vol(SA)} \int_{SA} \int_0^l \Big|\frac{\partial g_f(v,t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f(\gamma_v(t))}{\partial t}\Big|^2 dt < \epsilon. \tag{10}$$

Proof. The first estimate easily follows from the second one by integration. By the definition of h_f and the boundedness of f and $|\nabla f|$, for $\forall s \in [0, \epsilon l]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_f(v,s) - f(\gamma_v(s))|^2 &\leq |h_f(v,s) - h_f(v,0)|^2 + |f(\gamma_v(s)) - f(\gamma_v(0))|^2 \\ &< \psi(\epsilon|n, C). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, we also have, for some $\delta = \delta(\epsilon^2, k, l, n)$,

$$\frac{1}{l\mathrm{Vol}(SM)} \int_{\pi^{-1}T_{\epsilon l}(A)} \int_0^{\epsilon l} \Big|\frac{\partial h_f(v,t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f(\gamma_v(t))}{\partial t}\Big|^2 dt < \epsilon^2,$$

where $\pi^{-1}T_{\epsilon l}(A)$ is the ϵ-neighborhood of A. In particular, $\exists s' \in [0, \epsilon l]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(SM)} \int_{\pi^{-1}T_{\epsilon l}(A)} \Big|\frac{\partial h_f(v,s')}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f(\gamma_v(s'))}{\partial t}\Big|^2 < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of h_f and g_f, we have

$$\frac{\partial f(\gamma_v)}{\partial t}(s') = \frac{\partial g_f(g^{s'}v, 0)}{\partial t}.$$

Also, for the boundedness of f and $|\nabla f|$, we have

$$\Big|\frac{\partial h_f(g^{s'}v, 0)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h_f(v,s')}{\partial t}\Big| < \psi(\epsilon|n, \cdots).$$

Thus, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial h_f(g^{s'}v,0)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial g_f(g^{s'}v,0)}{\partial t}\right|<\psi(\epsilon|n,\cdots).$$

Then, the fact that $h_f(g^{s'}vt)$ and $g_f(g^{s'}v,t)$ satisfy the same equation $f''=-f$, together with $h_f(g^{s'}v,0)=g_f(g^{s'}v,0)$ implies

$$\frac{1}{l\mathrm{Vol}(SM)}\int_{\pi^{-1}T_{s'}(A)}\int_0^l\left|\frac{\partial g_f(g^{s'}v,t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial h_f(g^{s'}v,t)}{\partial t}\right|^2dt<\psi(\epsilon|n,C...).$$

Using the fact that the geodesic flow is volume-preserving, we get

$$\frac{1}{l\mathrm{Vol}(SM)}\int_{SA}\int_0^l\left|\frac{\partial g_f(v,t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial h_f(v,t)}{\partial t}\right|^2dt<\psi(\epsilon|n,C...).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{l\mathrm{Vol}(SA)}\int_{SA}\int_0^l\left|\frac{\partial g_f(v,t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial h_f(v,t)}{\partial t}\right|^2dt &< \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(SM)}{\mathrm{Vol}(SA)}\psi(\epsilon|n,C...)\\ &= \psi(\epsilon|n,C,\bar{A}...).\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Proposition 1, we get the required inequality with ϵ replaced by $\psi(\epsilon|k,l,n,C,\bar{A})$.

Remark. When the set A in the proposition is sufficiently small and l sufficiently close to π, $\gamma_v(t)$ can run over M, but $g_f(v,t)$ depends only on $f_{|A}$. So, such an f has much more geometric information of M. This is the key point of the proposition

3 The proof of Petersen's theorem

3.1 $\lambda_{n+1}\to n$ implies $\mathbf{rad}(M)\to\pi$

We first give some preliminaries. Let $p\in M$. Set $r(p)=\min\{r|B_r(p)\supset M\}$, the radius at p of M. Then the radius of M is defined as

$$\mathrm{rad}(M)=\max_{p\in M}r(p).$$

We also give the following

Definition 1 *For $p,q\in M$ and $\delta,s>0$, set*

$$C_s=\{v\in SM|\pi(v)\in B_\delta(p),\exp(sv)\in B_\delta(q),d(\exp(sv),\pi(v))=s\}.$$

The following lemma is also due to Colding ([1], Lemma 2.3); for convenience in the following proofs, we here give it a detailed proof.

Lemma 1 *$\forall \delta > 0$ and $p, q \in M$, then there exists an $s' > 0$ with*

$$Vol(C_{s'}) \geq \frac{n}{\pi^n}\big(\frac{V_n(\delta)\, Vol(M)}{\omega^n}\big)^2,$$

where $V_n(\delta)$ denotes the volume of the ball with radius δ in the standard n-sphere S^n, ω_n is the volume of S^n.

Proof. Set

$$C = \{v \in TM | \pi(v) \in B_\delta(p), \exp(v) \in B_\delta(q), d(\exp(v), \pi(v)) = |v|\}.$$

For $x \in M$, by Bishop's volume comparison, one has that the exponential map $\exp_x$ at x, when restricted to the set

$$C_x = \{v \in T_xM | d(\exp_x(v), x) = |v|\},$$

is volume non-increasing. In particular, for all $x \in B_\delta(p)$, the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem implies

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T_xM \cap C) \geq \mathrm{Vol}(B_\delta(q)) \geq \frac{V_n(\delta)\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{\omega_n}.$$

Thus, one has

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C) \geq \big(\frac{V_n(\delta)\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{\omega_n}\big)^2.$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C) = \int_0^\pi \mathrm{Vol}(C_s) s^{n-1} ds \leq \frac{\pi^n}{n} \max_{s>0} \mathrm{Vol}_S M(C_s).$$

Therefore, there exists $s > 0$ with

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C_s) \geq \frac{n}{\pi^n}\big(\frac{V_n(\delta)\mathrm{Vol}(M}{\omega^n}\big)^2.$$

The lemma is obtained.

Let $n \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n+1}$ be the first $n+1$ nonzero eigenvalues of M and $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{n+1}$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions respectively, i.e.

$$\Delta f_i + \lambda_i f_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1;$$

furthermore, we can assume that for $i \neq j$, $\int_M f_i f_j = 0$. We can normalize each f_i to make it satisfy

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M f_i^2 = 1.$$

Let $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n+1}$ be $n+1$ real numbers satisfying $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \cdots + a_{n+1}^2 = 1$. Set $f = a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + \cdots + a_{n+1} f_{n+1}$. Write $\lambda_{n+1} = n + \delta_1$. Since we only concern the case of λ_{n+1} near n, so WLOG, we can assume $\delta_1 \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$. From the definition of f, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M f^2 = 1,$$

and

$$\Delta f + nf = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(\Delta f_i + n f_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i(n - \lambda_i) f_i.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|\Delta f + nf\|_2 \leq \delta_1.$$

So, for all $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n+1}$ with $a_1^2 + \cdots + a_{n+1}^2 = 1$, we have

$$\|\Delta f + nf\|_2 \to 0 \text{ uniformly, as } \lambda_{n+1} \to n.$$

Moreover, by the standard estimate of PDE of elliptic type, there exists a positive constant C (independent of $a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1}$) such that for the above f one has

$$\max_M |f| \leq C,$$

$$\max_M |\nabla f| \leq C,$$

and

$$\max_M |\mathrm{Hess}(f)| \leq C.$$

In the following, when we mention a smooth f on M, we always mean such a function unless stated otherwise.

Using these preliminaries and Colding's local integral estimates of distances and angels (Proposition 2), we can now prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2 *For arbitrarily given ϵ, there exists $\delta_1' = \delta_1'(\epsilon)$, $\delta_2' = \delta'(\epsilon)$ and $\delta_3 = \delta_3(\epsilon)$ such that if $\lambda_{n+1} \leq n + \delta_1'$, and for some $p \in M$ and some $\alpha \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8}$, some smooth function f on M as mentioned before satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{Vol(B_\alpha(p))} \int_{B_\alpha(p)} |\nabla f|^2 < \delta_2'$$

and

$$\frac{1}{Vol(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{B_\alpha(p)}|f|^2<\delta_3,$$

then $r(p)>\pi-\epsilon$.

Proof: Assume $r(p)\le\pi-\epsilon$. Then $B_{\pi-\epsilon}(p)\supset M$. Applying Proposition 2 with $A=B_\alpha(p)$ and $l=\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, we can choose a $\delta_1'=\delta_1'(\epsilon)=\delta(n,\frac{\epsilon}{2(\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2})},\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2},\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^n(\alpha))}{\omega_n},C)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{(\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2})\mathrm{Vol}(SB_\alpha(p))}\int_{SB_\alpha(p)}\int_0^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}|g_f(v,t)-f(\gamma_v(t))|^2\le\frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)}\int_M f^2\\
&\le \frac{(\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2})^{n-1}}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)\mathrm{Vol}(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{SB_\alpha(p)}\int_0^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}|f(\gamma_v(t))|^2\\
&\le \frac{C'}{(\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2})\mathrm{Vol}(SB_\alpha(p))}\int_{SB_\alpha(p)}\int_0^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\left(|f(\gamma_v(t))-g_f(v,t)|^2+|g_f(v,t)|^2\right)\\
&\le C''(\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\delta_2+\delta_3).
\end{aligned}$$

So, when ϵ, δ_2, and δ_3 are sufficiently small, this derives a contradiction. The lemma is obtained.

Lemma 3 *For arbitrarily given* ϵ *and* δ_3, *set* $\alpha_0=\min\{\frac{C'\delta_3\epsilon^2}{80C},\frac{\epsilon}{8}\}$ *(we take* $C'\le\frac{1}{10}$ *later). Then there exist* $\delta_1''=\delta_1''(\epsilon,\alpha_0,\delta_3)$ *and* $\delta_2''=\delta_2''(\epsilon,\delta_3)$ *satisfying that if* $\lambda_{n+1}\le n+\delta_1''$ *and, for some smooth function* f *as mentioned before,* $p\in M$ *and some* $\alpha\le\alpha_0$,

$$\frac{1}{Vol(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{B_\alpha(p)}|\nabla f|^2<\delta_2''$$

and

$$\frac{1}{Vol(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{B_\alpha(p)}|f|^2\ge\delta_3,$$

then $r(p)>\pi-\epsilon$.

Proof. Assume $r(p)\le\pi-\epsilon$. Let f be a smooth function mentioned before, $p\in M$ and $\alpha\le\alpha_0$. For any $v,v'\in SM$, $t,t'\in[0,\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(\gamma_v(t))-g_f(v,t)|\\
=\;&|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-g_f(v',t')-g_f(v,t)+g_f(v',t')-f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))+f(\gamma_v(t))|\\
\ge\;&|g_f(v,t)-g_f(v',t')|-|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-g_f(v',t')|-|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-f(\gamma_v(t))|,
\end{aligned}$$

so, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\gamma_v(t)) - g_f(v,t)| + |f(\gamma_{v'}(t')) - g_f(v',t')| \\ \geq \; & |g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')| - |f(\gamma_{v'}(t')) - f(\gamma_v(t))|. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{B_\alpha(p)}|f|^2 \geq \delta_3$, there exists a $q_1 \in B_\alpha(p)$ such that $|f(q_1)| \geq \delta_3$. From this and the fact that $|\nabla f| \leq C$, $\exists r_0 = r_0(\epsilon, \delta_3, C) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{32}$ such that for any $r \leq r_0$ and $q_2 \in B_r(q_1)$, $|f(q_2)| \geq \frac{\delta_3}{2}$.

We first derive a lower bound of the term $|g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')|$ for some v, v', t, t'. It is clear that, for any $v \in SB_r(q_1)$, $|f(\pi(v'))| \geq \frac{\delta_3}{4}$ for any $v' \in SB_\beta(\pi(v))$ as β is sufficiently small (β will be fixed in the following). Combining these with $|\nabla f| \leq C$ and $|\mathrm{Hess}(f)| \leq C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')| \\ \geq \; & |f(\pi(v))||\cos t - \cos t'| - |f(\pi(v)) - f(\pi(v'))| - |\nabla f(\pi(v))| - |\nabla f(\pi(v'))| \\ \geq \; & |f(\pi(v))||\cos t - \cos t'| - 2C\beta - 2|\nabla f(\pi(v))|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t \in [\pi - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \pi - \frac{\epsilon}{4}]$ and $t' \in [0, \pi - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}]$, we then have

$$|g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')| \geq C'\delta_3\epsilon^2 - 2C\beta - 2|\nabla f(\pi(v))|,$$

here $C' \leq \frac{1}{10}$. Take $\beta \leq \min\{\frac{C'\delta_3\epsilon^2}{20C}, \frac{\epsilon}{32}\}$. We then have

$$|g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')| \geq \frac{9}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2 - 2|\nabla f(\pi(v))|.$$

On the other hand, since $\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(B_\alpha(p))}\int_{B_\alpha(p)}|\nabla f|^2 \leq \delta_2''$ (δ_2'' will be fixed in the following), $|\mathrm{Hess}(f)| \leq C$ and $v \in SB_r(q_1)$, we have

$$|\nabla f(\pi(v))| \leq Cr + 2C\alpha + \delta_2''.$$

Taking $\delta_2'' \leq \frac{C'\delta_3\epsilon^2}{80}$ and $r_0 \leq \min\{\frac{C'\delta_3\epsilon^2}{80C}, \frac{\epsilon}{32}\}$, we then have

$$|\nabla f(\pi(v))| \leq \frac{C'\delta_3\epsilon^2}{20}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$|g_f(v,t) - g_f(v',t')| \geq \frac{8}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2, \tag{12}$$

for any $(v,t) \in SB_r(q_1) \times [\pi - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \pi - \frac{\epsilon}{4}]$ and any $(v',t') \in SB_\beta(\pi(v)) \times [0, \pi - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}]$.

Next, we want to derive an upper bound of the term $|f(\gamma_v(t))-g_f(v,t)|$ for some suitable $(v,t)\in SB_r(q_1)\times[\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2},\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}]$. Setting $l=\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}$ and applying Proposition 2 on $A=B_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}(p)$, we then have

$$\frac{1}{(\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4})^3\mathrm{Vol}(SB_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}(p))}\int_{SB_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}(p)}\int_0^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}}|g_f(v,t)-f(\gamma_v(t))|^2dt<\psi_0(\delta_1''|\epsilon),$$

here $\psi_0(\delta_1''|\epsilon)\to 0$ as $\delta_1''\to 0$ (δ_1'' will be fixed in the following).

We also remark that $\alpha\le\alpha_0\le\frac{\epsilon}{8}$ and $r\le r_0\le\frac{\epsilon}{32}$, and hence $SB_r(q_1)\subset SB_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}(p)$. So, by the volume comparison theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon\mathrm{Vol}(SB_r(q_1))}\int_{SB_r(q_1)}\int_{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}}|g_f(v,t)-f(\gamma_v(t))|^2dt<\psi_1(\delta_1''|\epsilon). \tag{13}$$

Thus, for sufficiently small δ_1'' and some $(v,t)\in SB_r(q_1)\times[\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2},\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}]$, we have

$$|f(\gamma_v(t))-g_f(v,t)|<\sqrt{\psi_1(\delta_1''|\epsilon)}\le\frac{1}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2. \tag{14}$$

Combining this with (11) and (12), we have, for sufficiently small δ_1'',

$$|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-g_f(v',t')|+|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-f(\gamma_v(t))|\ge\frac{7}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2, \tag{15}$$

for some $(v,t)\in SB_r(q_1)\times[\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{2},\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}]$ and any $(v',t')\in SB_\beta(\pi(v))\times[0,\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}]$.

Now, we discuss the terms $|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-g_f(v',t')|$ and $|f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))-f(\gamma_v(t))|$ in (15). To this end, we need Lemma 1. For convenience, we first set

$$\begin{aligned}
C(v,t) &= \{(\bar v,s)\in SM\times[0,\pi]\mid \pi(\bar v)\in B_\beta(\pi(v)),\exp(s\bar v)\in B_\beta(\gamma_v(t)),\\
&\quad \text{and } d(\exp(s\bar v),\pi(\bar v))=s\};\\
C'(v,t) &= \{\bar v\in TM\mid \pi(\bar v)\in B_\beta(\pi(v)),\exp(\bar v)\in B_\beta(\gamma_v(t)),\\
&\quad \text{and } d(\exp(\bar v),\pi(\bar v))=|\bar v|\};\\
C(s;v,t) &= \{\bar v\in SM\mid \pi(\bar v)\in B_\beta(\pi(v)),\exp(s\bar v)\in B_\beta(\gamma_v(t)),\\
&\quad \text{and } d(\exp(s\bar v),\pi(\bar v))=s\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $C(v,t)$ and the assumption $r(p)\le\pi-\epsilon$, we have, if $(\bar v,s)\in C(v,t)$,

$$s\le\pi-\epsilon+\alpha+r+\beta+\beta\le\pi-\frac{25\epsilon}{32}<\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}.$$

So, $C(v,t)\subset SB_\beta(\pi(v))\times[0,\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}]\subset SB_{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}(p)\times[0,\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}]$. Similarly, for $\bar v\in C'(v,t)$, $|\bar v|\le\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}$; and for $s\ge\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}$, $C(s;v,t)=\phi$. We also have

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C(v,t))=\int_0^\pi\mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))ds=\int_0^{\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}}\mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))ds$$

and

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C'(v,t)) = \int_0^{\pi} \mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))s^{n-1}ds = \int_0^{\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}} \mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))s^{n-1}ds.$$

On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}(C'(v,t)) \geq \big(\frac{V_n(\beta)\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{\omega_n}\big)^2.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vol}(C(v,t)) &= \int_0^{\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}} \mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))ds \\
&\geq \frac{1}{(\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4})^{n-1}} \int_0^{\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}} \mathrm{Vol}(C(s;v,t))s^{n-1}ds \\
&= \frac{1}{(\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4})^{n-1}}\mathrm{Vol}(C'(v,t)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{(\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4})^{n-1}}\big(\frac{V_n(\beta)\mathrm{Vol}(M)}{\omega_n}\big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Again applying Proposition 2 with $A = M$ and $l = \pi - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(SM)} \int_{SM} \int_0^{\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{4}} |g_f(\bar{v},s) - f(\gamma_{\bar{v}}(s))|^2 ds < \psi_2(\delta_1''|\epsilon).$$

Since $C(v,t) \subset SB_\beta(\pi(v)) \times [0, \pi - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}] \subset SM \times [0, \pi - \frac{\epsilon}{4}]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(C(v,t))} \int_0^{\pi-\frac{3\epsilon}{4}} \big(\int_{C(s;v,t)} |g_f(\bar{v},s) - f(\gamma_{\bar{v}}(s))|^2\big) ds < \psi_3(\delta_1''|\epsilon).$$

So, for sufficiently small δ_1'' (which now can be fixed), there exists an $(v',t') \in C(v,t) \subset SB_\beta(\pi(v)) \times [0, \pi - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}]$ satisfying

$$|g_f(v',t') - f(\gamma_{v'}(t'))|^2 < \sqrt{\psi_3(\delta_1''|\epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2. \tag{16}$$

Since $(v',t') \in C(v,t)$, (by the definition of $C(v,t)$) we have $\gamma_{v'}(t') \in B_\beta(\gamma_v(t))$, so

$$|f(\gamma_{v'}(t')) - f(\gamma_v(t))| \leq C\beta \leq \frac{1}{10}C'\delta_3\epsilon^2. \tag{17}$$

Combining (17) with (15) and (16), we derive a contradiction. The lemma is obtained.

Clearly, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 imply the following

Theorem 1 *For arbitrarily given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$, and $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\lambda_{n+1} \leq n + \delta_1$, and for some $p \in M$, some smooth function f mentioned before, and some $\alpha = \alpha(\epsilon) > 0$*

$$\frac{1}{Vol(B_\alpha(p))} \int_{B_\alpha(p)} |\nabla f|^2 < \delta_2,$$

then $r(p) > \pi - \epsilon$.

Proof of 3.1. Take $\epsilon > 0$ (sufficiently small) and $p \in M$ arbitrarily. Consider the gradient vector $\nabla f_1, \nabla f_2, \cdots, \nabla f_{n+1}$ at p of the eigenfunctions of M. There exist $n+1$ real numbers $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n+1}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2 = 1$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \nabla f_i = 0$ at p. Set $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i f_i$. So, $(\nabla f)(p) = 0$. Thus, for the δ_2 in Theorem 1, we have, for $\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta_2}}{C}$,

$$|\nabla f| < \sqrt{\delta_2}, \quad \text{on } B_\alpha(p).$$

So, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(B_\alpha(p))} \int_{B_\alpha(p)} |\nabla f|^2 < \delta_2.$$

We remark that the choose of δ_2 and α are independent of p. So, by Theorem 1, as λ_{n+1} is sufficiently close to n, $r(p) > \pi - \epsilon$, and hence $\mathrm{rad}(M) > \pi - \epsilon$. The proof is finished.

3.2 $\mathbf{rad}(M) \to \pi$ implies $\lambda_{n+1} \to n$

Colding's theorem [1] says that $\mathrm{rad}(M) \to \pi$ is equivalent to $d_{GH}(M, S^n) \to 0$. So, we just need to prove that $d_{GH}(M, S^n) \to 0$ implies $\lambda_{n+1} \to n$. To do this, we need the following result of Colding ([1], Lemma 1.10).

Lemma 4 *$\forall\ \epsilon > 0$, $\exists\ \delta = \delta(\epsilon, n) > 0$, such that if there exist some $p, q \in M$ with $d(p, q) > \pi - \delta$, then there exists an $f \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\|f\|_2 \leq 1$, $\|\Delta f + nf\|_2 < \epsilon$, and $\|f - g\|_{2,1} < \epsilon$, here $g(x) = \cos d(p, x)$.*

Remark. Actually, for the f in the above lemma, we can further assume $\int_M f = 0$. In fact, for the above f, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M f\right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M (\triangle f + nf)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|\Delta f + nf\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\bar{f} = f - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(M)} \int_M f$ with $\int_M \bar{f} = 0$. Then $\|\bar{f}\|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon$, $\|\Delta \bar{f} + n\bar{f}\|_2 < 2\epsilon$, and $\|\bar{f} - g\|_{2,1} < 2\epsilon$. So, we can use $\bar{f}$ to replace f.

Proof of 3.2. Let $\{p_i'\}$ be $n+1$ points in the standard n-sphere S^n, and $\{q_i'\}$ the corresponding anti-podal points satisfying that for $i \neq j$, $d(p_i', p_j') = \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $d_{GH}(M, S^2) < \frac{\delta}{3}$, from the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff distance, we can then find $p_i, q_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$, satisfying

$$|d(p_i, p_j) - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \delta, \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

and

$$|d(p_i, q_i) - \pi| < \delta.$$

Set $g_i(x) = \cos d(p_i, x)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$. Then, from Lemma 4, we can find $n+1$ functions $\{f_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1\}$ satisfying $\|f_i\|_2 \leq 1$,

$$\|\Delta f_i + n f_i\|_2 < \psi_1(\delta), \tag{18}$$

and

$$\|f_i - g_i\|_{2,1} < \psi_2(\delta), \tag{19}$$

where $\psi_i(\delta)$ satisfy $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \psi_i(\delta) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. By the previous remark, we can assume that $\int_M f_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$. If $\{f_i\}$ are linearly independent, then by applying the minimax principle of eigenvalues of the laplacian (cf. e.g. [4], Chapter 4) to the space $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{f \in C^\infty(M) : \int_M f = 0\}$, the result is obtained. So, we only need to prove that $\{f_i\}$ are linearly independent as δ is sufficiently small.

Assume that $\{f_i\}$ are not linearly independent. Then there exist $n+1$ real numbers $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n+1}$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2 = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i f_i = 0$. Set

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i g_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x') = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \cos d(p_i', x').$$

From (19), we have

$$\|g\|_{2,1} = \|\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i g_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i f_i\|_{2,1} < \psi_2(\delta). \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of g', it is an eigenfunction of S^n with eigenvalue being n; so, there exists $q' \in S^n$ such that $g'(x') = \cos d(q', x')$. It is clear that for $s < \frac{\pi}{3}$ and any $q'' \in B_s(q') \subset S^n$,

$$g'(q'') > \frac{1}{2}.$$

From the choose of p_i and p_i', we also know that if $d_{GH}(x, x') < \frac{\delta}{3}$, then

$$|g(x) - g'(x')| < \delta.$$

We now choose a $q \in M$ satisfying $d_{GH}(q, q') \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$, then for any $\bar{q} \in B_{\frac{s}{2}}(q)$, we have $g(\bar{q}) \geq \frac{1}{3}$. But, on the other hand, the above (20) implies that for sufficiently small δ, there must exist a $\tilde{q} \in B_{\frac{s}{2}}(q)$ satisfying $g(\tilde{q}) < \frac{1}{6}$. This is a contradiction. The proof is completed.

References

[1] T. H. Colding, *Shape of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math., **124**(1996), 175-191.

[2] T. H. Colding, *Large manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math., **124**(1996), 193-214.

[3] T. H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. Math., **145**(1997), 477-501.

[4] S. Gallot, D. Hulin, & J. Lafontaine, *Riemannian Geometry*, 3rd edition, Springer.

[5] P. Petersen, *On eigenvalue pinching in positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math., **138**(1999), 1-21.

Yi-Hu Yang: Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.
Email: yangyihu@sjtu.edu.cn

Yi Zhang: Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China.
Email: 08zhangyi@tongji.edu.cn